

**California Transmission Planning Group Executive Committee Meeting
Meeting Minutes
June 3, 2010 – Sacramento - 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.**

Executive Committee - Representatives			
		Present	Absent
CAISO	Keith Casey	X	
IID	Brian Brady	X	
LADWP	Aram Benyamin		X
PG&E	P. J. Martinez	X	
SCE	David Mead	X	
SCPPA	Bill Carnahan	X	
SDG&E	Jim Avery	X	
SMUD	Jim Shetler		X
TANC	Allen Short	X	
TID	Larry Weis		X
Western	Tom Boyko		X

Executive Committee - Alternates			
		Present	Absent
CAISO	Gary DeShazo		X
IID	Steve Keene		X
LADWP	John Dennis	X	
PG&E	Steve Metague	X	
SCE	Patricia Arons		X
SCPPA	Gary Nolff	X	
SDG&E	Linda Brown	X	
SMUD	Vicken Kasarjian	X	
TANC	Jim Beck	X	
TID	Casey Hashimoto	X	
Western	Pete Garris	X	

Invited Guests			
		Present	Absent
CAISO	Heather Sanders	X	
PG&E	Jon Eric Thalman	X	
LADWP	Tim Wu		X
LADWP	Mohammed Beshir	X	
SDG&E	Al Pak	X	
SMUD	Mike Deis	X	
SMUD	Craig Cameron		X
SCE	Dana Cabbell	X	
TANC	Bryan Greiss	X	

SUMMARY OF ACTION ITEMS

1. The draft meeting minutes from the May 6 and May 12 CTPG Executive Committee meetings were adopted unanimously. The adopted final meeting minutes are attached.

2. After reviewing the Technical Steering Committee qualitative evaluation of seven stakeholder projects that were submitted for Phase 3 study, the Executive Committee decided:
 - Mo Beshir will send an email to Jim Avery which summarizes the qualitative evaluation and TSC findings for each project.
 - Jim will forward the evaluation and findings to each sponsor before the June 14 draft Phase 3 Study is released.
 - Jim will inform the project sponsors that the July stakeholder meeting will be an opportunity for further comment and discussion of the TSC findings.
 - Mo will call each of the project sponsors who submitted a project and tell them that an e-mail from Jim Avery will be sent. Mo will preview the content of that e-mail.
 - Project sponsors who seek an environmental score for their project will be encouraged to work directly with RETI.

3. The Executive Committee gave further guidance to the TSC as follows:
 - The Phase 3 study schedule should be expanded to include an outreach meeting with RETI to vet the Phase 3 results.
 - The planned June 22 stakeholder meeting will be deferred and the new date for the next CTPG stakeholder meeting will be decided at the July 1 CTPG Executive Committee meeting.
 - The TSC should release the draft Phase 3 report as scheduled on June 14 but not include a discussion of least regrets in the draft Phase 3 report.

4. After a lengthy discussion of alternative approaches to define the “Least Regrets” transmission needs for meeting California’s 33 percent RPS, the Executive Committee has asked Linda Brown and the least regrets sub team to:
 - Continue to refine the four alternative methodologies that were presented.
 - The team is encouraged to collapse the two quantitative methodologies into a single quantitative methodology and collapse the two qualitative methodologies into a single qualitative methodology.
 - The Executive Committee would like to review the results of applying both quantitative and qualitative least regrets methodologies at the July 1 Executive Committee meeting.
5. Jim Avery summarized the letter he received from Michael Picker, the Governor’s Advisor for Renewable Energy Facilities, requesting CTPG participation in the Dessert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan (DRECP). The sense of the Executive Committee is that participation in DRECP by individual CTPG members may satisfy the request. CTPG members are encouraged to share with Jim Avery and Steve Metague the names of DRECP participants from their organization.
6. Mike Deis will arrange for a facilitator for the July stakeholder meeting. Bill Carnahan offered that SCPPA will pay for the facilitator for the July stakeholder meeting.
7. The CTPG legal team presented a draft Antitrust Compliance Policy for Executive Committee review. After discussion, Al Pak was asked to work with the CTPG legal team to find compromise language for Section 5 and attempt to resolve any remaining issues.

SUMMARY OF THE MEETING

- The Executive Committee addressed all items on the proposed draft agenda (attached) except for the road map for post Phase 3 Study work which was held until the July 1 Executive Committee meeting.
- Mo Beshir provided a general update on TSC activities in the last month. In summary, (1) the least regrets sub team has made good progress and has identified 4 alternative approaches to defining least regrets transmission solutions (2) A dynamic studies issues group is being formed, and CTPG members are encouraged to participate, (3) the Phase 3 final study plan was posted on May 7, (4) the TSC has been working with RETI to obtain environmental scoring for transmission segments, and (5) the initial analysis of stakeholder proposed alternative projects is complete.

- The Executive Committee discussed projects proposed by third parties for CTPG study. In summary, the Executive Committee members observed; (1) while some third party proposed projects do not eliminate constraints for the scenarios which CTPG studied, those projects may be viable for other scenarios, and (2) certain third party proposed projects mitigate constraints for the CTPG scenarios studied, however, the CTPG studies are only a first screen and more detailed studies are required to determine the viability of those projects.
- The Executive Committee discussed least regrets transmission solutions at length. Points raised during that discussion included:
 - CTPG reports should be clear that in finding least regrets transmission solutions CTPG studies focused on meeting not only the 33% RPS goal, but reliability and other considerations as well.
 - The definition of least regrets as proposed by the sub group is good. It focuses on identifying needs that are high probability of solving a need under many scenarios.
- LADWP hopes to sign the CTPG Membership Agreement in July.
- CTPG has been invited to make a presentation at the TEPPC meeting in Denver on June 16 or June 17. Mo Beshir will be available to attend. Jim Avery and Steve Metague will work offline to see if an Executive Committee member can also participate at that meeting.