

**California Transmission Planning Group Executive Committee Meeting
Meeting Minutes
May 6, 2010 – San Francisco - 10 a.m. to 3 p.m.**

Executive Committee - Representatives			
		Present	Absent
CAISO	Keith Casey	x	
IID	Brian Brady		x
LADWP	Aram Benyamin		x
PG&E	P. J. Martinez	x	
SCE	David Mead	x	
SCPPA	Bill Carnahan		x
SDG&E	Jim Avery	x	
SMUD	Jim Shetler	x	
TANC	Allen Short	x	
TID	Larry Weis		x
Western	Tom Boyko	x	

Executive Committee - Alternates			
		Present	Absent
CAISO	Gary DeShazo	x	
IID	Steve Keene	x	
LADWP	Mohammed Beshir	x	
PG&E	Steve Metague	x	
SCE	Patricia Arons		x
SCPPA	Gary Nolf		x
SDG&E	Linda Brown	x	
SMUD	Vicken Kasarjian	x	
TANC	Jim Beck	x	
TID	Casey Hashimoto	x	
Western	Pete Garris	x	

Invited Guests			
		Present	Absent
CAISO	Heather Sanders		x
PG&E	Jon Eric Thalman	x	
LADWP	Tim Wu		x
SDG&E	Al Pak	x	
SMUD	Mike Deis	x	
SMUD	Craig Cameron	x	
SCE	Thomas Flynn	x	
FERC	Rick Miles	x	
SCE	Dana Cabbell	x	
SCE	Bob Woods	x	
FERC	Steve Williams	x	

SUMMARY OF ACTION ITEMS

1. The April 1 and April 29 CTPG Executive Committee meeting minutes were unanimously approved after 2 corrections to the April 1 draft minutes. The adopted meeting minutes are attached.
2. The Technical Steering Committee (TSC) provided an update on the Phase 2 study, Phase 3 study plan, and input from stakeholders. The Executive Committee provided the following guidance in response to stakeholder input:
 - Stakeholder who have requested CTPG study a lower net short and include more out of state (Nevada) resources should be referred to RETI. CTPG will consider those scenarios in future planning cycles if they are recommended by RETI.
 - For the 13 specific projects that CTPG has been asked to study, the Executive Committee agreed that, while most will be studied, several do not require a specific study by TSC (e.g., projects in an area where there is no need, projects that address needs already evaluated in Phase 2 scenarios, etc.). In those cases, a qualitative assessment and response is satisfactory.
 - It would be helpful if the writing team would propose some working definitions for terms such as “project,” “need,” etc.
 - TSC was encouraged to form a small sub team to develop a proposal for how to categorize needs and define “least regrets” solutions. Linda Brown will lead the sub team. Their recommendations will be reviewed at the next Executive Committee meeting.

3. The CTPG agreed to accept TEPPC's request for a presentation at the June 16-17, 2010 TEPPC meeting in Denver. Jim Avery and Mo Beshir will make the presentation. Steve Metague will work with Scott Cauchois, TEPPC Chair, on the logistics.
4. Each CTPG Executive Committee member was asked to bring their attorney to the special CTPG Executive Committee meeting to resolve issues related to the Membership Agreement / MOU. The meeting will be at SMUD offices in Sacramento on May 12, 2010.

SUMMARY OF THE MEETING

- The Executive Committee addressed all items on their proposed draft agenda except for the Road Map for post phase 3 study work which was deferred until a future Executive Committee meeting.
- Mo Beshir provided a general update of TSC activities in the last month. Highlights include: The TSC held three meetings in April and two meetings in May; approximately 16 stakeholder comments have been received; the final Phase 2 Study Report and Phase 3 Study Plan are to be completed by May 7; Phase 3 studies are underway; and RETI has offered to perform environmental scoring.
- The Executive Committee is pleased that RETI is offering to provide environmental input. However, concerns are raised as projects are shown as lines on a map. The general sense is that the Phase 3 report will identify needs, but it is premature to draw lines on a map. The issue was not resolved at the meeting but the Executive Committee urged TSC to exercise caution regarding how environmental screening results are portrayed in the Phase 3 report.
- Several CTPG members noted that a resolution is required regarding the CTPG Membership Agreement prior to the Phase 3 report being released.
- Executive Committee discussed stakeholder requests for study cases. Gary DeShazo is setting up a process to allow assess the study cases. However, confidentiality issues will need to be addressed.
- The Executive Committee reviewed and commented on the proposed Phase 3 study scenarios as well as the stakeholder project alternatives that have been proposed.
- The Executive Committee was apprised that the Phase 3 study work is currently about 2 weeks behind schedule.
- Steve Metague provided some background on the implications of CTPG participating at WECC as a sub regional planning group. Discussion of CTPG participation at WECC was deferred until the next CTPG Executive Committee

meeting. However, CTPG will provide an update at the next TEPPC meeting in Denver (see Action Item 3).

- Rick Miles from FERC joined the Executive Committee meeting after lunch. He provided an overview of FERC's dispute resolution services. An excellent discussion followed covering such topics as the governance of CTPG and FERC's desire to support early resolution of issues as they emerge. Rick explained that he is reaching out to many stakeholders on his trip to California.



C:\DATA\Katherine\
CTPG Minutes - 4-1-10



C:\DATA\Katherine\
CTPG Minutes - 4-29-



C:\DATA\Katherine\
CTPG Meeting - 5-6-1